

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,416 10/27/2003		Ragina Naidu	740082.407	5617
500 7	590 10/07/2005	EXAMINER		
	LECTUAL PROPER	COVINGTON, RAYMOND K		
701 FIFTH AV SUITE 6300	Æ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, W	A 98104-7092	1625		

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/695,41	6	NAIDU, RAGINA				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Raymond	•	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ars on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm o period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. attutory period will, by statute, or	TE OF TH 6(a). In no eve ill apply and wil cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[2]	Perpansive to communication(s) file	nd on 7/12/0	NE 2/26/05						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/13/05 3/26/05. This action is FINAL 2h This action is non final.								
′=	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.								
٥)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	closed in accordance with the practi	Ce unuei Lx	i parte Qui	ayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 40	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the a	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-17,37,38 and 51</u> is/are allowed.								
	☐ Claim(s) 6,18-36,39-50 and 52-58 is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election re	auirement.					
	on Papers			4		1			
	•								
	The specification is objected to by the			7					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:								
	Applicant may not request that any object			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	ıminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date 7/13/05 3/26/04		·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	O-152)			

Art Unit: 1625

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6 and 18 contain terms, DBU, DEAD, DCC and DMSO respectively, are not defined. The first use of these terms in the claims should be written out.

Claims 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There are two claim 58 in the claims. Correction or cancellation is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 18-36, 39-50 and 52-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ojima US 6,187,916 taken with Zamir et al US 6,576,777,

Art Unit: 1625

Magri et al Jol. Nat. Prod. Vol. 51 no. 2 pp 298-302 (1988), Klein et al J. Med. Chem. Vol. 38 pp 1482-1492 (1995) and Holton et al US 5,489,601.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP 2141.01)

Ojima teaches taxane derivatives and processes for preparation of the type recited in the claims. See, for example, column 1 lines 30-45, column 2 lines 30-60, applied to applicants' claims 19-21, 25, 26 and 36 in particular, column 3 lines 1-62, applied to applicants' claim 24 in particular, column 4 lines 54-70, column 7 lines 1-70.columns 9-10. Zamir et al teach analogous compounds and processes. See, for example, column 5 lines 1-50, applied to applicants' claim 18 in particular, column 13 lines 1-54, applied to applicants' claim 19 in particular, columns 15-16 applied to applicants' claims 52-54 in particular. Magri et al is likewise analogous, see page 298 and page 301. Klein et al teaches analogous compounds and processes. See, for example page 1483 right column, claims 19, 29, 32, 33, page 1484 schemes 2-3, page 1485, claim 45. Holton et al is also analogous, see, column 6 lines 25-70, for claim 52, column 7 lines 35-70 and column 8 lines 15-70, for claim 19

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP 2141.02)

Art Unit: 1625

The references differ in the types of protecting groups employed, the position of the attached dioxo ring, the presence of t-butyl or phenyl on the position 13 substituent. However, choice of protecting groups for taxane derivatives is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art as shown by the various protecting groups disclosed in the cited references. The close structural similarity in the positional placement of the dioxo formed ring would have been obvious.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP 2142-2413)

In view of the art as a whole the claims invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill as the use of somewhat different but otherwise analogous starting materials I otherwise known processes to obtain known products would not have been unexpected and therefore unpatentable.

Claims 1-5, 7-17, 37 38 and 51 drawn to azide N3 containing products and processes are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (571) 272-0681. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, C. Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/695,416

Art Unit: 1625

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H

Raymond Covington Examiner Art Unit 1625

Q/19/05

Page 5